

Applicant : B. Roberts, et al.  
Serial No. : 10/028,038  
Filed : December 20, 2001  
Page : 7 of 10

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-498001 / P462

### REMARKS

Claims 1-13 and 19-21 are pending. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,091,956 ("Hollenberg"). Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hollenberg in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,559,520 ("Barzegar"). Claims 1 and 10 are amended. Claims 19-21 are new. The applicant respectfully traverses the rejections and requests reconsideration in view of the amendments and following remarks.

#### I. The § 102 Rejections

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hollenberg. Claim 1, as amended, reads as follows:

A method for recording and recalling data associated with a location, the method comprising:

using a location aware device to determine a current location;

receiving at a first time a user-selected input, the user-selected input being captured at the current location in response to a user action by an input device integrated into or attached to the location aware device;

recording a location bookmark for the current location using the location aware device, a location bookmark having a bookmark location and bookmark content, the bookmark location comprising the current location and the bookmark content comprising the received user-selected input;

storing the location bookmark;

detecting at a second later time that a location of the location aware device is within a specified proximity to the bookmark location and that a user-defined condition is satisfied by the bookmark content and in response to the detecting automatically notifying a user of the location aware device of the location bookmark; and

presenting the user-selected input included in the bookmark content as part of the location bookmark through an output device of the location aware device, at a time after the first time.

Hollenberg discloses a mobile device that can display mapping information and "store standard graphical symbols for even more rapid display of such map features in the locations specified by the downloaded mapping information" [Col. 8, ll. 55-57]. The "map features"

Applicant : B. Roberts, et al.  
Serial No. : 10/028,038  
Filed : December 20, 2001  
Page : 8 of 10

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-498001 / P462

referred to include "main roads and cities and the separation, i.e., mileages and transit time, between them" [Col. 8, ll. 51-53]. The Examiner asserts that the "graphical symbols read on the claimed "location bookmark" because they are location specific and include location and content information as depicted by the maps and the graphical symbols therein" [Office Action, pp. 2-3].

The applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's assertion. A graphical symbol does not read on a location bookmark for at least the following reason. The graphical symbol does not include "bookmark content", as that term is defined in claim 1. Claim 1 recites that a location bookmark includes bookmark content comprising received user-selected input. The received user-selected input is captured at the current location in response to a user action by an input device integrated into or attached to the location aware device. By way of example, a location aware device can be equipped with a digital camera and can capture a digital image related to the bookmark location. As another example, the location aware device can be equipped with a voice recording device and can capture an audio recording related to the bookmark location. The above examples are intended to be helpful to the Examiner and are no way limiting to the interpretation of the claim.

By contrast, the information represented by the graphical symbols disclosed in Hollenberg (*i.e.*, main roads and cities) is not information that is captured by the mobile device at the current location. Although Hollenberg does disclose using a mobile device to capture information at a location, *e.g.*, taking digital images while shopping (Col. 6, ll. 54-60), such captured information is not included in a location bookmark. That is, Hollenberg does not disclose that at a later time (*i.e.*, a time later and different than the time when the location bookmark was recorded) there is a detection of a location of a mobile device within a specified proximity to the location (*i.e.*, the shopping location) and satisfaction of a user-defined condition by the captured user-selected input (*i.e.*, the digital images), and in response to said detecting the user is automatically notified of the location bookmark (*i.e.*, the location and bookmark content). Or more specifically to the Hollenberg example, there is no disclosure that if the mobile device were later detected in the vicinity of the shopping location, that a user would be notified and presented with the digital images.

The mobile device disclosed in Hollenberg does not capture input related to a current location and record a location bookmark including the captured input as required by claim 1.

Applicant : B. Roberts, et al.  
Serial No. : 10/028,038  
Filed : December 20, 2001  
Page : 9 of 10

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-498001 / P462

Claim 1 is therefore allowable over Hollenberg. Claims 2-9, and new claims 19 and 21, depend from claim 1 and are therefore allowable for at least the same reasons.

## II. The § 103(a) Rejections

Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hollenberg in view of Barzegar. Claim 10 recites a device including a means for determining a current location of the device and a means for capturing user-selected input associated with the current location of the device at a first time. The device further includes a means for recording a location bookmark, a location bookmark including a bookmark location comprising the current location and bookmark content comprising the captured user-selected data and a means for storing the location bookmark. Further included is a means for, at a second later time, retrieving the location bookmark from storage in response to a determination that the device is close to the bookmark location and that a user-defined condition is satisfied by the user-selected data. Further, the device includes a means for determining that the device is close to the bookmark location of a previously-stored location bookmark and that a user-defined condition is satisfied by the user-selected input and automatically notifying a user of the device of the previously-stored location bookmark.

The Examiner asserts that Hollenberg discloses all of the limitations of claim 10, except that the current location of the device is determined by the device, and relies on Barzegar to disclose that limitation. Barzegar is directed to a geographical location system that is used to download information to a mobile vehicle specifically relevant to a geographical location of the mobile vehicle (Col. 1, ll. 10-12). The applicant respectfully submits that even in combination, Hollenberg and Barzegar do not disclose the limitations of claim 10. Neither Hollenberg nor Barzegar disclose a means for recording a location bookmark, where a location bookmark includes a bookmark location and bookmark content including user-selected input captured by the device. Further, the references, alone nor in combination, do not disclose a device including a means for, at a second later time (*i.e.*, a time later and different than a time when the location bookmark was recorded), retrieving the location bookmark from storage in response to a determination that the device is close to the bookmark location and that a user-defined condition is satisfied by the bookmark content.

Applicant : B. Roberts, et al.  
Serial No. : 10/028,038  
Filed : December 20, 2001  
Page : 10 of 10

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-498001 / P462

By contrast, as discussed above in reference to claim 1, although Hollenberg discloses a mobile device that can capture data, such as a digital image, there is no disclosure of the device detecting, a second later time, that the device is close to the location where said data was captured, and then notifying the user and presenting the captured data to the user. Barzegar also does not disclose such a device.

Accordingly, the references do not disclose the limitations of claim 10 which is allowable over Hollenberg in view of Barzegar. Claims 11-13 and 20 depend from claim 10 and are therefore allowable for at least the same reasons.

No fees are believed due, however, please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Nov 4/05

  
Brenda M. Leeds Binder  
Reg. No. 57,520

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
500 Arguello Street, Suite 500  
Redwood City, California 94063  
Telephone: (650) 839-5070  
Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

50305151.doc